Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s) VAN DER EERDEN ET AL.		
	10/519,071			
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	EMMANUEL S. LUK	1744		

	EMMANUEL S. LUK	1744		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.		
 \(\)\[\)\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on; (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection wh	ichover ic later. Is	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patient ferm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bel 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);		
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	aucing or simplifying	ille issues ioi	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the	
7. Mean For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 131-138.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a	
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.	
The request for reconsideration has been considered busee attached remarks.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			
13. Other:				
	Maria Varaniaa D Eural			

/Maria Veronica D Ewald/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1744